

REVIEWER FORM

reviewer: _____

organization: _____

e-mail: _____

Dear _____

We send you for review the manuscript of the article submitted to the editorial board «Constructive geography and rational use of natural resources»

We ask you to return the article with a completed review form to the editorial office within two weeks (if it is impossible to review the article within the specified time, please inform us about it).

Please make edits and ask questions to the author directly in the text of the article document using the review function of the Word application.

We will be grateful if you also correct the errors and other shortcomings noticed in the text.

Reviewer's name is not disclosed.

Article title: _____

Manuscript 1. *The article is published in the original language.*

Sincerely,

Editor-in-Chief

Shevchenko Olga

«» _____ 20__

the question		+	partial agreement	-
Evaluation remarks				
1	The title corresponds to the content of the work. The title of the article will be of interest to the international scientific audience.			
2	The abstract meets the formal requirements of the journal (volume, style, lack of general phrases), written competently, reveals the main results of the work. In style, it corresponds to the annotation for articles on similar topics. Keywords are not duplicated in the title, correspond to the content of the work.			
3	The introduction allows us to assess the current state of the problem at the global level			
4	The design of the article meets the requirements. The text does not contain spelling and grammatical errors, typos. The article is written competently. The language of the manuscript corresponds to literary norms.			
5	The data presented in the article are original. The data are processed by correct methods. The methods are described in the last paragraph of the "Materials and Methods".			
6	Section "Research results" do not contain interpretations of data, evaluative judgments, elements of the description of the methodology, references - only a statement of facts			
7	The material of the article is representative, correct in terms of methodology / tasks			
8	The technical quality of the graphic material is satisfactory. The article contains the optimal amount of graphic material for this type of research. The charts are designed correctly. The tables are designed correctly. The notes to the tables provide all the data needed to understand the contents of the table			
9	The discussion of the results contains references to similar works, the world in particular. Discussion, which allows you to compare the results with existing data, reveals the reasons for these differences			
10	Conclusions are written concisely, specific, consistent with the purpose and title of the article, literally not duplicated in the annotation			
11	Bibliographic references" are not oversaturated (less than 15%) with monographs and conference abstracts. More than 50% of the bibliography are articles in international journals with DOI. The bibliography is designed according to the ARA standard			
12	Self-citation (works belonging to any of the authors of the article; works published by the journal publishing the article) does not exceed 10% of the article list			
Conclusions (one of them):				Check
1	The article can be published in the journal without completion by the author			
2	The article can be published in a journal, but with a slight refinement			
3	The article can be published in a journal, but with significant revisions			
4	Article cannot be published in a journal (comment required)			

[illegible]

Date of filling in the form _____